Modified composite graft using diced autogenous cartilage for amputated ear reconstruction: A case report

INTRODUCTION

The diced cartilage graft was first described in 1941 by Young [1]. Currently, diced cartilage grafts are used for rhinoplasty because they reduce the need for harvesting cartilage grafts from other sites, can be shaped with the fingers, and have a very low risk of postoperative malalignment or visibility [2,3].

Given the prominent position of the ear on the side of the head and its delicate skin covering a complex cartilaginous framework, auricular trauma is relatively frequent [4]. Treatment of minor ear trauma follows a different set of principles [5]. In contrast, methods of treatment for amputated ears vary from direct replantation, microvascular repair, and the pocket method to secondary reconstruction [6]. However, there is no standard treatment of choice, and existing methods each have advantages and disadvantages. Herein, we present our experience of a reconstruction method using diced cartilage that led to a satisfactory aesthetic outcome in a patient with partial ear amputation.

CASE REPORT

A 72-year-old woman fell from a height of 1 m, and a flowerpot crushed her right ear. Her right ear helix was amputated, including the cartilage. The affected portion was approximately $3.5 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$ in size (Fig. 1).

Five hours after the trauma, a composite graft procedure was performed under general anesthesia. Before the procedure, the amputated ear tissue was stored in a refrigerator covered with saline-
soaked gauze. Following debridement of the damaged portion and removal of dirt particles, the margin of amputation was thoroughly cleansed using continuous saline and betadine irrigation. The amputated part was separated into cartilage and skin. The cartilage portion was diced into 1-mm pieces using a no. 11 blade. The skin was sutured, formed into a pocket, and filled with the diced cartilage. Molding was performed using a tie-over dressing on the anterior and posterior areas of the scaphoid fossa (Fig. 2). No adjuvant treatment modalities such as hyperbaric oxygen therapy or ice-pack cooling were employed postoperatively.

Nine days after the operation, the tie-over dressing was removed. There was no sign of hematoma or severe necrosis that would require a subsequent operation. Ten days after the surgery, the wound showed signs of successful recovery, and the shape of the ear helix was maintained (Fig. 3). The patient was followed-up regularly at the outpatient department.

Ten months after implementation of the composite graft using diced cartilage, the complex contour of the ear was maintained. The diced cartilage had not been not completely absorbed, but instead formed the structure of the ear helix (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Auricular trauma is relatively frequent due to the prominent position of the ear on the side of the head, and the delicate skin covering a complex cartilaginous framework [4]. Treatment of ear trauma varies by size, depth, and cause of injury [5]. There are several methods of treatment for an amputated ear, including direct reattachment, the pocket method, composite grafts, and microvascular repair [6,7]. In this case, the amputated ear was reconstructed via a composite graft. Microvascular repair was not suitable because the ear was crushed and not large enough for this procedure. Ihrai et al. [8] recommended the pocket method for small ear amputations (<15 mm) and for those that do not involve the lobule. While the results achieved by applying the pocket method are aesthetically superior to those observed with other methods, this approach requires a second operation and may occasionally necessitate the application of a skin graft on the posterior aspect. Conversely, a composite graft reduces the operating time, but survival of the amputated tissue and cartilage is not certain. Brown and Cannon [9] suggested that the size limitation for successful composite grafting is approximately 1 cm. In the present case, the amputated portion
was approximately 3.5 × 3.0 cm$^2$. Large amputations are difficult to repair by a conventional composite graft. Therefore, in our case, we used the diced cartilage method in combination with a composite graft.

Cartilage is a unique tissue because of its low metabolic rate and avascular structure, and because its nutrition is provided by tissue fluid diffusion [10]. After repair of the amputated ear, significant resorption of the reattached auricular cartilage can occur [11]. Koldzynski et al. [12] decided not to use the cartilage of the lost segment in the reconstruction of a damaged ear because of extensive resorption. Further, in the report by Steffen et al. [13], the cartilage of a repaired ear lost its complex anatomy and stability after surgery.

In contrast, Young [1] and Peer [14] reported the survival of diced cartilage with interspersed fibrous tissue, resulting in a solid sheet of opaque cartilage-like material after a successful grafting procedure. McLaughlin [15] reported that in successful ear grafts, erythrocytes usually infiltrate the graft tissue successfully within a few days of the procedure. Therefore, revascularization occurred relatively easily in our patient, as the diced cartilage nested within the skin pocket enabled early ingress of erythrocytes and nutrients from the bloodstream into the graft. Grafts using diced cartilage are moldable and have a low risk of rejection compared to synthetic material. In the present case, the amputated ear was repaired by a composite graft with diced cartilage from the damaged ear. This method allowed the shape of the ear helix and the scaphoid fossa to be easily molded, and structural stability was well maintained over the long term.

Although the overall shape of the ear helix was retained, there was a minor depression in the central area of the composite graft surface in comparison with the appearance of the contralateral ear (Fig. 4). This may have occurred due to compression from the tie-over dressing applied on the anterior and posterior areas of the scaphoid fossa to fix the graft in position. As a result, the blood supply to the central area may have been limited, leading to a reduction in the volume of the graft tissue. Additionally, the molding with two tie-over dressings did not achieve concavity between the ear helix and the scaphoid fossa. In the future, this can be prevented by studying and refining the proper technique for molding the skin pocket surrounding the diced cartilage.

In conclusion, ear reconstruction is a difficult technique, as it requires maintaining the highly detailed anatomic complexity of the ear, as well as preserving the thin soft tissue. An ideal method for managing ear trauma cases would allow the original shape of the ear to be maintained. Conventional composite grafts for amputated ears have size limitations and are vulnerable to significant cartilage resorption, and the loss of anatomical features and stability often occur. The diced cartilage method is a relatively simple and short procedure for treating an amputated ear. In addition, this case showed good outcomes in terms of cartilage survival, the quality of ear complexity, and esthetics. We believe that this technique will be useful for repairing amputated ears.
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