INTRODUCTION

Split-thickness skin grafts (STSGs) are commonly used in the reconstruction of skin defects induced by trauma or burns [1]. Although STSGs are used to successfully treat various wound types, donor site wounds can be challenging, and complications often develop. Therefore, optimal donor site dressings are required. In this study, we introduce an evidence base for patterns in how the discharge amount decreases over time, with the goal of providing insights into the selection of dressing materials.

Methods

Twenty patients with burns who received STSGs harvested from the thigh between January 2016 to April 2017 were prospectively reviewed. A donor site dressing with foam was changed daily. The weight of the foam dressing was measured before and after placement on the donor site. The wound area was calculated using Visi-Trak. The mean weight of the discharge amount per unit area (g/10 cm²) was calculated.

Results

The mean weight of the discharge amount per unit area (g/10 cm²) decreased from 3.84 to 2.02 (P<0.05) and 1.09 (P<0.05) on postoperative days 5 and 10, respectively. It further decreased to 0.61 by postoperative day 14.

Conclusions

To prevent infections induced by donor site leakage, the use of highly-absorptive foam materials until 5 days after the operation is beneficial. Moreover, hydrocolloid or highly-absorptive foam materials can be used to promote re-epithelialization of the donor site after 5 days postoperatively. For re-epithelialization and wound protection, it is effective to use hydrocolloid materials starting on postoperative day 10.
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dressing materials. Moreover, we hope that our study will provide guidance for the selection of appropriate dressing materials for optimal outcomes based on a consideration of the amount of discharge.

**METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted from January 2016 to April 2017 and included 20 patients with burns who required STSG. Patients with burn wounds of more than 20% of the total body surface area, those who abused substances, and those with chronic disease were excluded. All STSGs were harvested in a standardized manner from the anterolateral thigh region with an electrical dermatome (Acculan Dermatome, Aesculap Power Systems; Aesculap Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA) adjusted to a thickness of 0.2 mm. The donor sites were covered with an epinephrine-soaked gauze immediately after harvesting until the end of the operation. After the operation, a 5-mm-thick absorptive foam (Mepilex; Mölnlycke Health Care, Gothenburg, Sweden) was applied. Before applying the absorptive foam, the weight of the foam was measured using an electronic compact scale (SF-400C, GNTECH). An occlusive film dressing (AIDerm Roll; EVERAID Co. Ltd., Yangsan, Korea) was applied over all donor-site foam dressings to avoid leakage or evaporation of the donor site discharge. Cases in which leakage over the foam dressing took place were also excluded. The following day, the skin graft donor-site dressing was changed with a new absorptive foam. At this time, the weight of the foam used on the previous day was measured to calculate the amount of donor site discharge. In this way, dressing changes were carried out every day until the wound and covering dressings displayed no discharge and remained dry (Fig. 1).

When no exudate remained and there was no pain upon exposure to air, re-epithelialization was considered complete. Previous studies have not presented a comparison of two sites, which is an example of indiscriminate technique and careless selection of data. Pain was assessed using a scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to 5 (maximally severe pain), and the score was recorded in a blinded manner every day after dressing removal. Pain at the donor sites was rated by the patients themselves. Scarring of the donor sites was estimated in a blinded manner using the Vancouver Scar Scale (VSS) at 60 days after surgery.

The wound area was calculated using Visi-Trak (Smith & Nephew, North Ryde New South Wales, Australia). The mean weight of the discharge amount per unit area (g/10 cm$^2$) was calculated using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). All donor sites with infection and inflammation were excluded.

**RESULTS**

A total of 20 patients received an STSG in this study. There were 13 men and seven women, with a mean age of 53 years (range, 25–83 years). The donor site dimensions ranged from 64 to 480 cm$^2$, with a mean of 242 cm$^2$. A 5-mm-thick absorptive foam and occlusive film dressing was applied in all patients.

The mean amount of discharge per donor site area (g/10 cm$^2$) was determined by measuring the donor site area and the amount of discharge (Table 1). The mean amount of discharge per donor site area was found to show a statistically significant increase as the area of the donor site increased ($P < 0.001$).

The average pain score was 1.41 (range, 0–1.95), as calculated from 280 measurements. In all 20 patients, scars were assessed at 60 days postoperatively. The results of the VSS for the donor areas of all patients at follow-up (median value, 5; range, 0–13) showed a significant difference compared to the normal skin color (which

**Fig. 1.** Electronic compact scale. Weight of the foam before use at the donor site [A] and after use at the donor site [B].
The amount of discharge at the donor site was highest in the first 2 to 3 days, and it continued to decrease over the course of 2 to 3 weeks. Skin graft donor site discharge continuously decreased ($r = -0.947$, $P < 0.0001$) by about 50% ($P < 0.05$) in the first 5 postoperative days and by about 75% ($P < 0.05$) by postoperative day 10. Complete re-epithelialization took place by 10 days postoperatively (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Donor site management should aim to create an environment that allows rapid re-epithelialization, with minimal pain and discomfort. The ideal dressing for STSG donor sites has not yet been identified because of the diverse strategies used to manage donor sites. However, better results in donor sites using occlusive or semi-occlusive moist dressings have been presented in previous studies [6-13]. Dressings that provide a moist environment, such as hydrocolloids and polyurethane foam, promote re-epithelialization and collagen synthesis [14-16]. In this study, we introduce an evidence base for patterns in how the discharge amount decreases over time for use in selecting optimal dressing materials.

To standardize this prospective study on patterns in the discharge amount, STSG harvesting was performed using the same protocol and equipment. Dermatome speed and pressure when harvesting the STSG may sometimes influence the final thickness of the STSG. Thus, STSG harvesting was performed by the same surgeon to create fairly uniform donor site wounds.

Pain was assessed using a pain scale. The overall pain scores of patients were low (mean, 1.41; range, 0–1.95). The Veterans Health Administration in the United States has referred to donor site pain as a "fifth vital sign." In other words, donor site pain is one of the most stressful symptoms in the early postoperative period [17]. Thus, a wet environment at the donor sites was created, resulting in less mechanical stimulation. Depending on the definition of complete epithelialization, the time to re-epithelialization may vary. When the definition is based on strict criteria, considering remaining scabs, the time to complete re-epithelialization can be longer. Although we defined complete re-epithelialization as the absence of remaining exudate and the absence of pain to exposed air, there were no remaining scabs on the donor site wounds. The time to complete re-epithelialization was 10 days, which is similar to the results of 10 to 12 days that have been reported in other studies [4,18-21].

The VSS is the most commonly used assessment index for evaluating burn scars [22]. As VSS scores increase, the level of scarring is assessed as worse. We used the VSS to assess the degree of hypertrophic scarring. Although there were differences compared to the
normal skin, the results were acceptable. Moreover, since the period for assessment was relatively short, the results are expected to improve over time. A limitation of this study was that the donor site scar was not a burn scar; therefore, this context was different from the usual criteria for which the VSS is used. In addition, it is necessary to take care when interpreting these results, because the item used to evaluate the degree of pigmentation in the VSS assessment is not an ordered variable.

The amount of discharge at the donor site was highest in the first 1 to 3 days, and it continued to decrease over the course of 2 to 3 weeks (Fig. 3). The fluid handling capacity of the Mepilex was 7.64 g/10 cm$^2$/24 hr. This moist wound healing environment was achieved with the foam dressings used in this study. In our prospective study, the amount of discharge decreased markedly throughout 14 days postoperatively, with no cases of infection. A further study using various dressing materials and a longer follow-up period are needed. Nevertheless, we hope that our study could provide guidance for the selection of appropriate dressing materials for optimal outcomes, considering the discharge amount.

In conclusion, we recommend using highly-absorptive dressing materials until 5 days preoperatively to prevent donor site leakage, which may induce infection, and then using hydrocolloid or highly-absorptive dressing materials to promote re-epithelialization of the donor site after 5 days postoperatively. Subsequently, after 10 days postoperatively, hydrocolloid dressing materials are recommended to facilitate re-epithelialization and protection of the donor site. Based on the findings of this prospective study, tailoring the treatment method based on the amount of exudate after surgery will provide meaningful results.
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